## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
Plaintiff,	
V.	Case No: 11-12440
CHANDRA YOUNG,	
Defendant.	

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The Objections to the writ of garnishment filed by defendant Chandra Young was referred to United States Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72.1. The magistrate judge issued his report on March 28, 2014, recommending that writ of garnishment be discharged as the parties have agreed to a resolution of the matter. The stipulated order resolving defendant's objection to the garnishment and the entry of a payment order was filed on March 31, 2014. No objections have been filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(C), thus further appeal rights are waived.<sup>1</sup>

Having reviewed the file and the report, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and ADOPTS the same for purposes of this Order. Therefore,

The failure to object to the magistrate judge's report releases the court from its duty to independently review the motion. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

## **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that, for the reasons set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the writ of garnishment is discharged.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Robert H. Cleland
ROBERT H. CLELAND
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 5, 2014

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, June 5, 2014, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Lisa Wagner

Case Manager and Deputy Clerk (313) 234-5522